## 

## CONTRIBUTING EDITORS

Ward Bower Principal Altman Weil

**David G. Briscoe** Senior Consultant Altman Weil

**Norman K. Clark** Principal Altman Weil

Thomas S. Clay Principal Altman Weil

**James D. Cotterman** Principal Altman Weil

**Daniel J. DiLucchio, Jr.**Principal
Altman Weil

Virginia Grant Consultant Altman Weil

Marci Krufka Consultant Altman Weil

Charles A. Maddock Principal

**Alan R. Olson** Principal Altman Weil

Richard C. Reed Senior Consultant Altman Weil

**Debra L. Rhodunda**Consultant
Altman Weil

**Larry R. Richard** Principal Altman Weil

**Linda Thomas** Consultant Altman Weil

**Lisa Walker Johnson** Principal Altman Weil

MANAGING EDITOR

Susan D. Sjostrom

## **Thanks for Asking**





By Charles A. Maddock and Marci M. Krufka

Charles A. Maddock Marci M. Krufka

lient surveys have become increasingly popular among law firms — and with good reason. Properly designed, client surveys can assist the firm in evaluating a client's level of satisfaction with the firm and prevent potential erosion or loss of business to competition. A well-executed survey can also identify additional services including non-legal services — that clients might expect from the firm and can pinpoint geographic markets or industry categories that are currently not being serviced by the firm. Finally, the client survey is a tool to help lawvers understand how clients make decisions, and who within their organizations makes them.

By evaluating these critical issues, law firms can further their strategic business and marketing objectives. For most firms, information from clients can provide the platform for a formal strategic planning or business development program.

As if all of this weren't enough, clients actually *expect* to be surveyed by their firms. In fact, many corporate law departments require it — and most *enjoy* the process.

Over the past decade of conducting client surveys for law firms, Altman Weil has observed that merely asking law firm clients for their opinions and feedback creates an enhanced image of the law firm and an improved relationship between the firm and its clients. This phenomenon seems to endure for several months to a year following the survey. Conducting satisfaction surveys seems to create a "halo effect" for the surveyor.

A recent study conducted by Paul M. Dholakia of Rice University's Jesse H. Jones Graduate School of Management and Vicki G. Morwitz of New York University's Stern School of Business supports the "halo effect" theory and concludes that merely conducting satisfaction surveys *enhances client loyalty and profitability*. The study, scheduled to be reported in the *Journal of Consumer Research* in September, 2002, was highlighted in the May, 2002 *Harvard Business Review*.

Researchers studied "over 2000 customers enrolled in the customer relationship program of a large U.S. financial services company." Approximately one-half of the customers participated in a ten to twelve minute telephone satisfaction survey; the other half did not. The two groups were tracked for one year

continued on page 3

Inside This Issue
D 5: 11 1 5: 05 41 0:
Profitable Law Firms Of All Sizes
Share Economic Similarities
Winners, Losers in the New
Legal Marketplace
Staff Compensation Part II — Incentives
The In-House Counselor — Corporate
Counsel Comes under the Magnifying Glass
Best Practices for Partnering Between
Corporate Counsel and Outside Law Firms
Scanning Irreplaceable Documents

## Thanks... continued from cover

after the survey was conducted. Participants received no other direct marketing materials during that time. Researchers measured, "purchasing behavior, defection rates and profitability."2 At the end of the year, "the customers [they] surveyed were more than three times as likely to have opened new accounts, were less than half as likely to have defected, and were more profitable than the customers who hadn't been surveyed."3 The most positive effects were observed within the first few months following the survey.

The consumer psychology behind this "halo effect" is that "measurementinduced judgments can influence later behavior." In other words, if a client is asked to make a judgment about a product, service, provider, etc., and his or her assessment is positive, the client is more likely to purchase that product or service in the future.

The researchers acknowledge the limitations in the study — i.e., that it was limited to one industry, that the participants were long time customers of the organization. However, they are presently conducting additional research that they hope will clarify these questions and provide further support for their theory.

Law firms are not unlike the financial services company that was the subject of the study — they provide a variety of services to a large client base that often includes many longtime customers. In fact, the potential "halo effect" from a law firm client satisfaction survey may be even greater. Law firm clients are less likely to have had their legal services providers solicit feedback, as compared to consumers in other industries who are frequently over-surveyed. In addition, law firm client response rates to mailed or Internet-based surveys frequently exceed 40% — higher than virtually every other industry or

service category, demonstrating clients' need to provide feedback and satisfaction with the process.

The long-term impact of this study and the follow-up studies is unclear. What is clear is that the results of this study provide law firm marketers yet another expert opinion to assist them in making the business case for conducting a client satisfaction survey.

- Dholakia, Paul M. and Vicki G. Morwitz, "How Surveys Influence Customers," Harvard Business Review, May 2002, pg. 18.
- Id.
- Id.
- Id. at pg. 19

Charles A. Maddock and Marci M. Krufka are consultants with Altman Weil, Inc. working out of the firm's offices in Newtown Square, Pennsylvania. They can be reached at (610) 886-2000 or camaddock@altmanweil.com and mmkrufka@altmanweil.com.